In The Name Of God

Complications RELATED TO ABDOMINAL ACCESS

DR.VENUS CHEGINI OB-GYN FELLOWSHIP OF LAPAROSCOPY



- Up to one-half of complications occur at the time of abdominal access for camera or port placement
- Complications can also arise from abdominal insuflation, tissue dissection, and hemostasis
- Conversion to an open procedure may be needed to manage complications that have been identifed intraoperatively, while others may not be recognized until the postoperative period
- Severe complications such as vascular injury and bowel perforation can be catastrophic and are the main cause of procedure-specific morbidity and mortality related to laparoscopic surgery



Complications related to initial abdominal access occur in

fewer than 1 percent of patients

Once abdominal access is established, complications during the course of the procedure are similarly rare

5

type of organ injury during abdominal access

- Small bowel (25 percent)
- Iliac artery (19 percent)
- Colon (12 percent)
- Iliac or other retroperitoneal vein (9 percent)
- Secondary branches of a mesenteric vessel (7 percent)
- Aorta (6 percent)
- Inferior vena cava (4 percent)
- Abdominal wall vessels (4 percent)
- Bladder (3 percent)
- Liver (2 percent)
- Other (less than 2 percent)

Risk factors

- prior surgery for intra-abdominal or pelvic disease (diverticulitis, pelvic inflammatory disease) have a higher risk of complications related to adhesions
- extensive bowel distention
- very large abdominal or pelvic mass
- diaphragmatic hernia
- patients with poor cardiopulmonary reserve may not be candidates for abdominal insuflation given the physiologic changes related to pneumoperitoneum
- For patients with risk factors for complications, the laparoscopic approach and approach to abdominal access need to be carefully planned; an open approach may be preferred
- The frequency of complications may be related to surgeon experience and the number of the specific procedures performed for some

Vascular injury

- The overall reported rate of vascular injury (arterial or venous injury) ranges from 0.1 to 6.4 per 1000 laparoscopies
- Most injuries involve minor vessels
- Vascular injury most commonly occurs during abdominal access and is second only to anesthesia as a cause of death from laparoscopy
- Vascular injury most commonly occurs while placing a pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress) or primary trocar
- Veress needle is often implicated as the cause of distal aortic or iliac vessel injury, vascular injuries can occur with any of the available devices using open or closed techniques, during any laparoscopic procedure, including those in the upper abdomen

Vascular injury

Vascular injuries related to abdominal access Major vascular :

- Aorta
- inferior vena cava
- iliac vessels
- minor vascular :
- vessels of the abdominal wall
- mesentery
- other organs

- Vascular complications most commonly result from laceration of minor vessels
- these injuries are more often a cause for
- transfusion
- conversion to an open procedure
- reoperation
- the site can be coagulated or clipped
- During initial abdominal access to establish pneumoperitoneum, the omental and mesenteric vessels are most commonly injured, particularly if there are adhesions

The most common vascular injury overall is laceration of the inferior epigastric artery during placement of lateral trocars (usually as secondary trocars) in the lower abdomen

- Injury to the inferior epigastric vessels is more common than injury to the superior epigastric vessels, likely because the superior epigastric vessels in the upper abdominal wall often form a plexus of arteries
- Partial lacerations of the inferior epigastric artery vessels may not spontaneously stop bleeding, because the vessel is tethered and cannot retract and spasm

- Bleeding due to a vascular injury at a port site may not be observed with the port site cannulas in place and the abdomen insuflated due to tamponade
- Delayed bleeding can occur after the patient has been transferred from the operating room, typically within one hour
- delayed abdominal wall hematomas can present two or three days after surgery
- Clinical manifestations include:
 - abdominal wall pain
- abdominal wall or flank ecchymosis
- external bleeding from a trocar site
- Patients can also present initially with hemodynamic instability due to significant blood loss from a port site that bleeds internally

- Patients with an abdominal wall hematoma from laparoscopic access who are hemodynamically stable and with no signs of hematoma expansion can be managed conservatively
- The hematoma may drain spontaneously through one or more port sites
- Intervention is indicated if:
- the hematoma expands
- hemodynamically unstable
- hematoma becomes infected
- rapidly expanding hematomas leading to hemodynamic instability or infected hematomas are more effectively managed using an open surgical approach
- percutaneous embolization of the bleeding vessel may be an option

Major vessels

injury to a major retroperitoneal vessel occurs, with incidences ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 percent

- Injury to major venous structures (inferior vena cava, iliac vein) can also occur, and massive air embolism has also been reported due to unrecognized intravenous placement of a pneumoperitoneum needle and subsequent gas insuflation
- Injury to the aorta or iliac vessels during abdominal access can lead to rapid exsanguination and death unless prompt vascular control and repair are undertaken
- Major vascular injuries may be recognized immediately by observing free blood in the abdominal cavity

Major vessels

vascular injury may not be appreciated right away, due to bleeding into the mesentery or retroperitoneum rather than into the peritoneal cavity

- Managing injury to a major vessel requires subspecialty expertise, and consultation with a surgeon experienced with vascular procedures should be obtained without delay
- ► The **anesthesia team** should be immediately notifed that there is a problem
- For patients in lithotomy position (gynecologic, rectal surgery), it is advisable to maintain the extremities in an elevated position to minimize hypotension
- For upper abdominal procedures for which the patient has been placed into reverse Trendelenburg position, the bed should be flattened, or placed into Trendelenburg position, as needed

Major vessels

- If a vascular or trauma surgeon is not immediately available (community facility, ambulatory surgery center), we advocate a damage control approach as used in trauma surgery
- To minimize ongoing blood loss, the abdomen should be rapidly opened with a midline incision, pressure should be applied directly to the bleeding site for initial control, and the abdominal cavity can be packed, if needed
- These maneuvers allow for fluid resuscitation while awaiting the vascular or trauma surgeon, or arrangements for immediate transfer if subspecialty expertise is not available

16

Conversion to an open procedure

The need to **convert to an open procedure** due to bleeding is determined :

- rate of bleeding
- the amount of blood loss
- the clinical status of the patient (tachycardia, hypotension, sepsis)
- the presence (or lack) of a clearly defined source
- the comfort of the surgeon with his or her ability to see and control the bleeding quickly using laparoscopic technique
- Patient factors such as advanced age or poor functional status and comorbidities (cardiopulmonary conditions, obesity, cirrhosis, clotting disorders)
- If adequate visibility cannot be maintained

17

Conversion to an open procedure

- The decision to convert for bleeding is justifable and prudent
- An important source of patient morbidity results from the failure to convert to an open procedure in a timely fashion when bleeding is encountered
- Laparoscopic hemostasis that is partially effective or inefective can lead to signifcant blood loss and its associated clinical consequences
- It is important to be vigilant about the possibility of injury to local anatomic structures when attempting to control bleeding

• . Extensive monopolar electrocautery in this region can also result in a thermal injury

- Bowel injury is the third leading cause of death, after anesthesia and major vascular injury
- most intestinal injuries during laparoscopy were incorrectly attributed to electrosurgery but were in actuality due to pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress) or trocar placement
- Injury to the gastrointestinal tract occurs in 0.03 to 0.18 percent of patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery
- Thirty to 50 percent of inadvertent bowel injuries occur during abdominal access

- The small bowel is the most commonly injured gastrointestinal structure during abdominal access for laparoscopic surgery, but stomach, liver, and colon injuries have been reported when subcostal access techniques are used
- Decompressing the stomach with an orogastric or nasogastric tube prior to upper abdominal access may minimize the potential for inadvertent stomach injury

Many bowel injuries go unrecognized, and, consequently, the patient can present postoperatively with or without peritonitis, often following discharge

- The absence of peritoneal signs does not rule out the possibility of bowel perforation and spillage of gastrointestinal contents within the peritoneal cavity
- Delayed diagnosis of an access-related gastrointestinal injury is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality and a major reason for legal action in the United States
- Nearly one-half of the injuries were not recognized at the time of the surgery
- No patient with bowel injury that was recognized intraoperatively sustained a postoperative adverse event, whereas patients with unrecognized injury and presenting in a delayed fashion required multiple procedures to manage the injury

21

Gastrointestinal puncture

- reported a higher incidence of bowel injury with open compared with closed techniques. One large retrospective review reported an incidence of 0.4 percent for Veress techniques and 1.1 percent for the open technique
- A possible reason for a higher incidence may be a selection bias as some surgeons reserve open access techniques for patients anticipated to have complicated entry (adhesions)
- **Gastrointestinal injury** should be managed when recognized.

Iatrogenic small and large bowel injuries are managed as with other traumatic intestinal injuries, based upon the grade of injury

- Injuries due to the pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress) may be able to be managed conservatively
- Most other trocar punctures require simple primary closure, reapproximating the bowel wall with simple sutures in one or two layers
- For discrete large bowel injuries, colostomy is rarely needed
- If the operating surgeon is inexperienced or uncomfortable performing such a repair, we advise consultation with a surgeon experienced with bowel surgery

Bladder puncture

 Bladder injury is a rare but reported injury during abdominal access for laparoscopy

- A history of **prior pelvic surgery** increases the risk of bladder injury
- Injury to the bladder is more commonly associated with primary or secondary trocar insertion, rather than related to dissection during the course of the operation
- puncture of the bladder results when a midline, suprapubic trocar is placed in a patient with an overdistended bladder

Bladder puncture

When anticipating port placement below the level of the umbilicus, a Foley catheter should be placed to decompress the bladder

- Although it is common place for patients to void immediately before the procedure, it is safer to drain the bladder with a catheter after the induction of anesthesia
- The catheter can also provide a means for early recognition of this complication
- Clinical signs of bladder injury include gaseous distention of the urinary drainage bag and bloody urine
- If a bladder injury is suspected, instillation of indigo carmine or methylene blue into the bladder may aid in identifying an injury

Bladder puncture

- If the bladder is punctured with a pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress), repair is generally not needed
- Small 3 to 5 mm punctures in the dome of the bladder generally resolve spontaneously with bladder decompression for 7 to 10 days
- Larger or irregular defects will require a suture closure with absorbable sutures using an open or laparoscopic approach
- The Foley catheter should be left in place for 4 to 10 days depending on the size and location of the puncture or tear.
- If the operating surgeon is **unsure** of bladder management, **urology** consultation should be obtained

Port-site hernia

Port-site hernia following laparoscopic surgery is less common compared with incisional hernia occurring after open surgery

- Trocar/port diameter and access technique can affect the rate of hernia formation
- Port-site hernia appears to be related :
- more complex procedures that require multiple ancillary ports
- Iarger-diameter ports used for specimen removal
- stapling devices
- single-site surgery
- older age
- higher body mass index
- Increased operative times and excess tissue manipulation (fascial weakening)

Port-site hernia

- ▶ the risk of developing incisional hernia is low :
- ▶ use of **trocars ≤12 mm**
- radially dilating trocars
- bladeless trocars
- although uncommon, hernia has been reported for 5 mm trocar sites
- ► Most authors close fascial defects if a port >12 mm is used, regardless of site or trocar/port type, and some advocate repairing port sites ≥10 mm

Port-site hernia

port-site hernia is identified following laparoscopy, the site should be repaired to prevent the development of intestinal obstruction, strangulation

- Clinical manifestations of port-site dehiscence/hernia include:
- gross disruption of the wound with drainage
- presence of a bulge with exertion or Valsalva
- painful continuous bulge if bowel or omentum is incarcerated
- clinical signs of bowel obstruction or infarction

Surgical site infection

- Wound infection is less common following laparoscopic compared with open procedures; nonetheless, it can produce significant morbidity
- The presence of significant peri-incisional erythema, wound drainage, and fever may indicate the development of a necrotizing fascial infection

- Although the umbilicus is more commonly associated with surgical site infection than other trocar sites, this finding correlates with the use of the umbilicus as a specimen extraction site
- The incidence of wound infections can be minimized by appropriate administration of prophylactic antibiotics, sterile technique, and use of bags during specimen extraction
- surgical site infection is treated with drainage, packing, and appropriate antibiotics

Complications RELATED TO PNEUMOPERITONEUM

 subcutaneous emphysema (improperly positioned pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress) or port)

- mediastinal emphysema (improperly positioned pneumoperitoneum needle (Veress) or port)
- Pneumothorax (physiologic effects of insuflation)
- cardiac arrhythmia (physiologic effects of insuflation)
- carbon dioxide retention (physiologic effects of insuflation)
- postoperative pain related to retained intra-abdominal gas(physiologic effects of insuflation)
- air embolism due to venous injury
- Patients who have poor cardiopulmonary reserve are not likely to be offered a laparoscopic procedure, and thus these complications are uncommon

COVID-19 precautions

Open and minimally invasive surgery can both produce aerosolized particulate

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which is causing the COVID-19 pandemic, has been identifed in the blood and feces of infected patients but not yet in smoke/aerosol

31

Although some studies failed to detect the virus in peritoneal fluid abdominal, or adipose tissue samples of patients with active COVID-19 infection, at least one report has detected, the viral load in the peritoneal fluid was higher than that detected in the upper respiratory material from the same patient

COVID-19 precautions

- In communities where SARS-CoV-2 infection is prevalent, for laparoscopic surgeons have suggested that:
- symptomatic patients be tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection
- smoke plume generated by electrosurgery be minimized
- devices be used to filter released carbon dioxide for aerosolized particles
- personnel attending laparoscopic procedures be protected at minimum by N95 masks and face shields, among other personal protective equipment
- recommend that such patients be treated medically and invasive procedures be deferred until the SARS-CoV-2 infection has been fully treated



